Virtual University of Pakistan

Assessment Team Report

Department of Management Sciences

Associate Degree in Islamic Banking

Criteria Referenced Evaluation

	Poor performance in most of the areas.	Fair performance in most of the areas.	Good performance for most areas / No poor performance in any areas.	Good to excellent performance in all areas.	Excellent performance in all areas.	Score
Criterion 1			\checkmark			3.50
Criterion 2					\checkmark	19.00
Criterion 3					\checkmark	10.00
Criterion 4					\checkmark	10.00
Criterion 5					\checkmark	15.00
Criterion 6				\checkmark		14.29
Criterion 7				\checkmark		8.00
Criterion 8		\checkmark				5.00

Criterion 1 – Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes				Weig	ght =	0.05
Factors Score						
1 Does the Program have documented measureable objectives that support college and institution mission statements?	oort faculty /	✓	4	3	2	1
2 Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating student	s?	5	~	3	2	1
3 Do these outcomes support the Program objectives?		5	4	~	2	1
4 Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?		5	4	~	2	1
5 Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using measures?	g quantifiable	5	4	✓	2	1
6 Is the result of the Program Assessment documented?		5	4	✓	2	1
Total Encircle	d Value (TV)	5	4	12	0	0
Score 1 (S1) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight	=			3.50		
Criterion 2 – Curriculum Design and Organization				Weig	ght =	0.20
Factors Score						
1 Is the curriculum consistent?		\checkmark	4	3	2	1
2 Does the curriculum support the program's documented objectives?		5	~	3	2	1
Are theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design streeprogram's core material?	essed within the	5	~	3	2	1
4 Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respe- bodies? (refer Appendix A of the Self Assessment Manual)	ctive accreditation	✓	4	3	2	1
5 Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC councils / accreditation bodies?	and the respective	~	4	3	2	1
6 Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and profession discipline requirements as laid down by the respective / accreditation		~	4	3	2	1
7 Is the information technology component integrated throughout t		\checkmark	4	3	2	1
8 Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in th	e program?	~	4	3	2	1
Total Encircle	d Value (TV)	30	8	0	0	0
Score 2 (S2) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight	=		19.00			
Criterion 3 – Laboratories and Computing Facilities				Wei	ght =	0.10
Factors Score						
Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiand readily accessible to faculty and students?	ments available	~	4	3	2	1
2 Are there adequate number of support personnel for instruction the laboratories?	and maintaining	~	4	3	2	1
Are the university's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support t objectives?	he program	\checkmark	4	3	2	1
Total Encircle	d Value (TV)	15	0	0	0	0
Score 3 (S3) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight	=	10.00				
Criterion 4 – Student Support and Advising		Weight =			0.10	
Factors Score						
Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the complete the program in a timely manner?	he students to	✓	4	3	2	1
2 Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interact students, faculty and teaching assistants?		~	4	3	2	1
3 Does the university provide academic advising on course decisions ar all students?	d career choices to	✓	4	3	2	1
Total Encircle	d Value (TV)	15	0	0	0	0
<pre>Score 4 (S4) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =</pre>				10.00		

Crite	rion 5 – Process Control			Wei	ght =	0.15
Fac	tors Score					
1	Is the process to enroll students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?	~	4	3	2	1
2	Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	~	4	3	2	1
3	Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?	~	4	3	2	1
4	Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	~	4	3	2	1
5	Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?	~	4	3	2	1
6	Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution mission?	~	4	3	2	1
7	Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?	~	4	3	2	1
8	Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphasize active learning and that course learning outcomes are met?	~	4	3	2	1
9	Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	~	4	3	2	1
10	Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program base on standards and documented procedures?	~	4	3	2	1
11	Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	~	4	3	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	55	0	0	0	0
	Score 5 (S5) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			15.00		
Crite	rion 6 – Faculty			Wei	ght =	0.20
Fac	tors Score					
1	Are there enough full time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the program areas / courses with continuity and stability?	5	~	3	2	1
2	Are the qualifications and interests of faculty members sufficient to teach all courses, plan, modify and update courses and curricula?	~	4	3	2	1
3	Do the faculty members posses a level of competence that would be obtained through graduate work in the discipline?	~	4	3	2	1
4	Do the majority of faculty members hold a PhD degree in their discipline?	5	4	3	2	✓
5	Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?	5	4	✓	2	1
6	Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?	5	4	✓	2	1
7	Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?	5	~	3	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	10	8	6	0	1
	Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			14.29		
Crite	Criterion 7 – Institutional Facilities			Wei	ght =	0.10
Fac	tors Score					
1	Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?	5	✓	3	2	1
2	Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately staffed?	5	~	3	2	1
3	Are the class rooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping faculty carry out their responsibilities?	5	~	3	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	12	0	0	0
Score 7 (S7) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				8.00		

Crite	Criterion 8 – Institutional Support				Weight =		
Fac	Factors Score						
1	Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?	5	4	~	2	1	
2	Are there an adequate number of high quality graduate students, teaching assistants and Ph.D students?	5	4	3	~	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)		0	3	2	0	
	Score 8 (S8) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =		5.00				

OVERALL ASSESSMENT SCORE =

S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6 + S7 + S8

 $= \frac{3.50 + 19.00 + 10.00 + 10.00 + 15.00 +}{14.29 + 8.00 + 5.00}$

₌ 84.79 / 100

Comments: